

REMARKS

As the original drawing of the invention did not seem to illustrate the device fully, in clear enough fashion to convey its nature, I have included along with the application a revision of the old drawings, the changes illustrated in red ink, plus have included some new drawings. Additionally the term list needed to be revised to match the drawings, therefore I have included the new term list.

Some functional or operational language is necessary in describing the structure of the invention due to the nature of the invention; the invention is a handle utilized by a hand and could, in a sense, be considered an artificial extension of a user's hand. The parameters governing the device's structural dimensions are inextricably intertwined with the fact that the invention is a hand utilized device and therefore must be physically within the capability of an average human hand to utilize the device.

The device was not anticipated by Eggert et al' 193 due to the fact his device is "a cylindrical reversing member disposed adjacent to the working end of the handle coaxially with the bore for rotation relative to the shank and coupled to the ratchet mechanism for shifting between the forward and reverse ratcheting modes," claim 1, while my is device different being a handle used as a combination drive means and guide. Eggert does limit his device to having "a cylindrical spinner fixed to the shank coaxially therewith and having a maximum outer radius approximately the same as the predetermined radius, said reversing member being disposed between said spinner and the working end of the handle." claim 9, and the spinner corresponds to the drive-wheel component of my device, but the spinner is merely a further limitation of Eggert's device and not the device itself, plus the drive-wheel of my device is merely a part of my device and not my complete device. The Eggert device fails to anticipate my device by not having a slip ring type hand-held-guide which would be located girdling the shank adjacent ahead of the spinner nearer the tool's work end than the spinner. Therefore, as a wheel is part of an automobile but would not anticipate the automobile, the Eggert

device doesn't anticipate the subject matter of my device as a whole, a handle assemble combining a driver-shank's drive- means with a slip ring type hand-held-guide.

The Martin'624 device includes "ratchet means in said body at the other end surface thereof" claim 1, my device does not, however Martin's device has "and having drive means engageable with the other end of the shaft to rotate the shaft," claim 1, my device does, but Martin's device has "said ratchet means including means extending beyond said other end surface of said body for manipulation of the ratchet means to enable selective rotation of the shaft in either of two directions, said other end surface of said body having a pair of spaced sockets therein; a tool adapter having opposite legs releasably received in the sockets in said body" claim 1, my device does not. And Martin further limits his device to "A hand operated rotary tool as in claim 2, wherein said body comprises two parts, said shaft being fixed to one of said body parts and rotatable relative to the other body part, said ratchet means being mounted in said other body part and selectively engageable with said other body part to effect rotation of the shaft in selected opposite directions depending on the adjustment of the ratchet means." claim 3. As claim 3 reveals, one half of Martin's device engages the shank by being fixed to the shank but the other half of Martin's device also engages the shank by way of an intrinsic ratchet mechanism. Martin's device has one body part which corresponds to the drive-wheel of my device by being fixed to the shank to engage the shank but Martin's device has no slip ring type hand-held-guide discretely freely rotatable unlimited in distance or direction relative the shank and other body parts, which if included with the Martin device would be placed girdling the shank ahead of, closer to the shank's work end, than Martin's body parts. The Martin device doesn't anticipate the subject matter of my device as a whole and therefore does not anticipate my device.

Respectfully submitted,
David A. Woodsum 12/13/2001
David A. Woodsum, applicant